

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA **106**, 1–14 (1987)

Structure Theory for Noncommutative Jordan H^* -Algebras

JOSÉ ANTONIO CUENCA MIRA

*Departamento de Algebra y Fundamentos, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad de Málaga, Apartado 59, 29080 Málaga, Spain*

AND

ANGEL RODRIGUEZ PALACIOS

*Departamento de Teoria de Funciones, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain**Communicated by Nathan Jacobson*

Received March 15, 1984

INTRODUCTION

H^* -Algebras were introduced and studied by Ambrose [4] in the associative case, and the theory has been extended to such particular classes of nonassociative algebras as Lie [15, 16], Jordan [17, 18] and alternative [13]. In all these cases the heart of the matter is showing that every H^* -algebra (in the given class) with zero annihilator is the closure of the orthogonal sum of its minimal closed ideals (each of which is a topologically simple H^* -algebra) and then listing all the topologically simple H^* -algebras in the class. In this paper we prove that every non-associative H^* -algebra with zero annihilator is the closure of the orthogonal sum of its minimal closed ideals, we give a classification theorem for topologically simple noncommutative Jordan H^* -algebras, and we determine the topologically simple Jordan H^* -algebras. The first result is the cornerstone for a development of the theory of general nonassociative H^* -algebras (see [7]), while the last ones conclude in some sense the theory of noncommutative Jordan H^* -algebras.

1. NONASSOCIATIVE H^* -ALGEBRAS

A part of the proof of our first result can be given in the context of normed nonassociative algebras. So we recall that a normed nonassociative

algebra V is a real or complex algebra with a norm for which the product of V is continuous. For a nonempty subset S of V we define the *left annihilator* $\text{Lann}(S)$ and *right annihilator* $\text{Rann}(S)$ of S in V by $\text{Lann}(S) = \{x \in V: xS = 0\}$ and $\text{Rann}(S) = \{x \in V: Sx = 0\}$. The *annihilator* of S in V is the set $\text{Ann}(S) = \text{Lann}(S) \cap \text{Rann}(S)$. S^\perp will denote the linear span of all $s_1 s_2$ with s_i in S . We use $M \triangleleft V$ to denote M is a closed ideal in V and $\bar{I}(S)$ to denote the closed ideal generated by the nonempty subset S of V . We recall that M is a trivial ideal of V if $M^2 = 0$ and V is said to be *semiprime* if 0 is its only trivial ideal (equivalently, its only closed trivial ideal). V is called *topologically simple* if $V^2 \neq 0$ and 0 and V are the only closed ideals of V . V is called *prime* if it has no nonzero orthogonal ideals (i.e., $M_1 M_2 = 0$ for $M_1, M_2 \triangleleft V$ implies either $M_1 = 0$ or $M_2 = 0$).

The following two concepts are crucial in our proof.

DEFINITION 1. The normed algebra V is *split by closed ideals* (or *splits*, for short) if $M \triangleleft V$ implies $V = M \oplus M'$ for some closed ideal M' (so $MM' = M'M = 0$), thus the lattice of closed ideals is complemented.

DEFINITION 2. A nonzero element x in the normed algebra V is *extreme* if for any closed ideal M either $x \in M$ or $x \in \text{Ann}(M)$.

PROPOSITION 1. Assume that the normed algebra V is split by closed ideals. Then

- (i) closed ideals inherit splitting,
- (ii) for $M \triangleleft V$ we have $M^2 = 0$ iff $M \subset \text{Ann}(V)$, so V is semiprime iff $\text{Ann}(V) = 0$.

If in addition V is semiprime, then

- (iii) $M' = \text{Ann}(M)$ for every $M \triangleleft V$,
- (iv) every closed ideal is a semiprime algebra,
- (v) for $M \triangleleft V$ we have that M is a nonzero prime algebra iff M is a topologically simple algebra, iff M is a minimal closed ideal in V ,
- (vi) an element x in V is extreme iff $\bar{I}(x)$ is a minimal closed ideal,
- (vii) if V satisfies some hypothesis which is (a) inherited by nonzero closed ideals, and (b) implies the existence of minimal closed ideals (e.g., guarantees the existence of extreme elements) then $V = \overline{\bigoplus M_\alpha}$ is the closure of the direct sum of its minimal closed ideals M_α (which are precisely the topologically simple closed ideals of V).

Proof. All but (vi), (vii) are immediate consequences of the definitions. For (vi), if x is extreme and $M \subset \bar{I}(x)$ a closed ideal then by extremeness either $x \in M$ (whence $\bar{I}(x) = M$) or $x \in \text{Ann}(M)$ (whence $M \subset M \cap \bar{I}(x) \subset$

$M \cap \text{Ann}(M) = 0$ by (iii)), so $M = \bar{I}(x)$ or 0 and $\bar{I}(x)$ is minimal; conversely if $\bar{I}(x)$ is minimal and $M \triangleleft V$ then by (iii) $V = M \oplus M'$, $x = m + m'$ for $M' = \text{Ann}(M)$, so $\bar{I}(x) \supset \bar{I}(xM) = \bar{I}(mM)$ implies by minimality either $\bar{I}(x) = \bar{I}(mM)$ (whence $x \in \bar{I}(mM) \subset M$) or $\bar{I}(mM) = 0$ (whence $mM = 0$, $mV = 0$, $m \in \text{Lann}(V)$). Similarly either $x \in M$ or $m \in \text{Rann}(V)$. Thus either $x \in M$ or else $m \in \text{Ann}(V) = 0$. In the last case $x = m' \in M' = \text{Ann}(M)$, so x is extreme. For (vii), write $M := \bigoplus \bar{M}_\alpha$ and assume $M \neq V$, then $M' \neq 0$ so by our assumptions there is a minimal closed ideal of M' (say M_β) which is clearly a minimal closed ideal of V ; thus $M_\beta \subset M \cap M' = 0$; a contradiction.

DEFINITION 3. A *semi- H^* -algebra* is a complex nonassociative algebra V whose vector space is a Hilbert space satisfying $(xy|z) = (x|zy^*) = (y|x^*z)$ ($x, y, z \in V$) for a given mapping $x \mapsto x^*$ from V to V (the involution of V) such that $(x+y)^* = x^* + y^*$, $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$, $(x^*)^* = x$ for all x, y in V and λ in \mathbb{C} . If in addition the involution satisfies $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ then V is called an *H^* -algebra*. It is not difficult to build a 2-dimensional commutative simple semi- H^* -algebra which is not an H^* -algebra.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let V be a semi- H^* -algebra. Then*

- (i) V is a normed algebra,
- (ii) V is split by closed ideals: $M \triangleleft V \Rightarrow V = M \oplus M'$ for $M' = M^\perp$,
- (iii) $\text{Lann}(M) = \text{Rann}(M) = \text{Ann}(M) = \overline{M^{2\perp}}$ for all $M \triangleleft V$ with $M^* = M$.

If in addition V is semiprime, then

- (iv) $\text{Lann}(V) = \text{Rann}(V) = 0$,
- (v) all closed ideals are $*$ -invariant: $M^* = M$ for $M \triangleleft V$,
- (vi) all closed ideals are semiprime semi- H^* -algebras,
- (vii) $\text{Lann}(M) = \text{Rann}(M) = \text{Ann}(M) = M' = M^\perp$ for all $M \triangleleft V$,
- (viii) $M = \overline{M^2}$ for all $M \triangleleft V$,
- (ix) $*$ is continuous, and is isometric if and only if V is an H^* -algebra.
- (x) $D(M) \subset M$ for all $M \triangleleft V$ and every continuous derivation D of V .

Proof. (i) Let x in V ; then since the operators L_x and R_x of left and right multiplication by x have adjoint operators (L_{x^*} and R_{x^*} , respectively), it follows from the closed graph theorem that they are continuous (see [14, Theorem 5.1]); now the product of V is continuous by [14, Theorem 2.17]. (ii) $V = M \oplus M^\perp$ as closed subspaces and M^\perp is clearly an ideal. (iii) $z \in \overline{M^{2\perp}} \Leftrightarrow z \in M^{2\perp} \Leftrightarrow (z|M^2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (zM^*|M) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$

$(zM|M)=0 \Leftrightarrow (zM|V)=0$ (by (ii)) $\Leftrightarrow zM=0 \Leftrightarrow z \in \text{Lann}(M)$, dually $\Leftrightarrow z \in \text{Rann}(M)$. (iv) $\text{Lann}(V)=\text{Rann}(V)=\text{Ann}(V)=0$ by (iii). (v) $M^* \subset M$ since if $m^*=n+n' \in M \oplus M'$ then $(n'V|V)=(n'M'|V)=(m^*M'|V)=(M'|mV) \subset (M'|M)=0$ by (ii), so $n'V=0$, dually $Vn'=0$, $n' \in \text{Ann}(V)=0$ by semiprimeness. Whenever $M^* \subset M$ for any set M we have $M=(M^*)^* \subset M^*$, so $M^*=M$. (vi) follows from (v) and Proposition 1(iv). (vii) $\text{Ann}(M)=M'=M^\perp$ by (iii) and Proposition 1(iii). (viii) The closed subspaces agree since they have the same orthogonal complement: $\overline{M^2}^\perp = \text{Ann}(M)=M^\perp$ by (iii) and (vii). (ix) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of elements of V such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^* = x'$. Since the L_{x_n} operational adjoint is $L_{x_n^*}$, $\{L_{x_n^*}\} \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, $\{L_{x_n^*}\} \rightarrow L_{x'}$. Since $\text{Lann}(V)=0$ by (iv) we have $x'=0$. The closed graph theorem gives the continuity of the involution. Since $((xy)^* - y^*x^*|z^*) = ((xy)^*|z^*) - (x^*|yz^*) = ((xy)^*|z^*) - (x^*z|y) = ((xy)^*|z^*) - (z|xy)$ we have $(xy)^* - y^*x^* = 0$ for all $x, y \Leftrightarrow (u^*|z^*) = (z|u)$ for all $u \in V^2 \Leftrightarrow (u^*|z^*) = (z|u)$ for all $u \in \overline{V^2} = V$ (by (viii)), thus $*$ is an algebra involution (V is an H^* -algebra) $\Leftrightarrow *$ is isometric. (x) Always $D(M^2) \subset D(M)$ $M + MD(M) \subset M$ so, by (viii), $D(M) = D(\overline{M^2}) \subset M$ since D is continuous and M closed.

PROPOSITION 3. *Each nonzero semiprime semi- H^* -algebra V has extreme elements. More concretely, if \hat{V} denotes V under the norm $|x| = \|L_x\|$, then for each extreme point f in the closed unit ball B of the dual space \hat{V}' of the normed space \hat{V} there is an extreme element v of V such that $f(x) = (x|v)$ for all x in V .*

Proof. By Proposition 2(i) there is a positive number k such that $\|xy\| \leq k \|x\| \|y\|$ ($x, y \in V$), that is: $|x| = \|L_x\| \leq k \|x\|$ ($x \in V$), so each f in \hat{V}' is contained in V' , $|f(x)| \leq |f| |x| \leq k |f| \|x\|$, and therefore by the Riesz representation theorem has the form $f(x) = (x|v)$ for some vector v in V . If f is an extreme point in B we claim v is an extreme element in V : if $M \triangleleft V$ then by Proposition 2(ii), $V = M \oplus \text{Ann}(M) = M_1 \oplus M_2$, and we must show $v \in M_1$ or M_2 , i.e., $v \in M_2^\perp$ or M_1^\perp (by Proposition 2(ii)), i.e., $f(M_2) = 0$ or $f(M_1) = 0$, i.e., $f \in M_2^0$ or M_1^0 (those continuous functionals on \hat{V} vanishing on M_2 or M_1 resp.). But since $V = M_1 \oplus M_2$ is an L_2 -direct sum of Hilbert spaces and for m_i in M_i we have $L_{m_i}(M_i) \subset M_i$, $L_{m_i}(M_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, a routine calculation shows $\|L_{m_1+m_2}\| = \text{Max}\{\|L_{m_1}\|, \|L_{m_2}\|\}$ (taking $\|L_{m_i}\|$ on M_i or all V), so $|m_1+m_2| = \text{Max}\{|m_1|, |m_2|\}$ shows $\hat{V} = M_1 \oplus M_2$ is an M -direct sum of normed spaces; hence as is well known $\hat{V}' = M_1^0 \oplus M_2^0$ is an L -direct sum ($|f_1+f_2| = |f_1| + |f_2|$), and therefore by [2, Proposition 1.15], f extreme in B implies $f \in M_1^0$ or M_2^0 as claimed. The unit ball B is compact in the weak* topology by the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, hence has nonzero extreme points by the Krein–Milman theorem. Therefore V has extreme elements.

THEOREM 1. *A nonzero semi- H^* -algebra V is semiprime iff $V = \overline{\bigoplus M_\alpha}$ is the closure of an orthogonal sum of topologically simple semi- H^* -algebra M_α (namely its minimal closed ideals).*

Proof. “Only if” follows from Proposition 1(vii) since the property of being nonzero semiprime semi- H^* -algebra is inherited by nonzero closed ideals (Proposition 2(vi)) and implies the existence of extreme elements by Proposition 3; the M_α are clearly orthogonal since $\alpha \neq \beta$ implies $M_\alpha M_\beta = M_\beta M_\alpha = 0 \Leftrightarrow M_\alpha \subset \text{Ann}(M_\beta) = M_\beta^\perp$ by Propositions 1(iii) and 2(ii). For the converse: if $V = \overline{\bigoplus M_\alpha}$ then for z in $\text{Ann}(V)$ we have $(z | \overline{M_\alpha^2}) = 0$; but, since M_α is a topologically simple (so semiprime) semi- H^* -algebra $\overline{M_\alpha^2} = M_\alpha$ (Propositions 2(viii), with $M = V = M_\alpha$); thus $(z | M_\alpha) = 0$, $(z | V) = 0$, $z = 0$. Therefore $\text{Ann}(V) = 0$ and V is semiprime by Propositions 2(ii) and 1(ii).

2. NONCOMMUTATIVE JORDAN H^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section we give a classification theorem for topologically simple noncommutative Jordan H^* -algebras the proof of which uses results [17] on commutative algebras and results [3] about noncommutative Jordan algebras.

THEOREM 2. *If V is a topologically simple noncommutative Jordan H^* -algebra then either*

- (1) *V is anticommutative (V^+ is trivial).*
- (2) *V is commutative ($V = V^+$).*
- (3) *V is quadratic: $*$ the involution of V is isometric, $V = \mathbb{C}e \perp W$ with e the unit of V and $xy = ((x | y^*)/\|e\|^2)e + x \wedge y$ ($x, y \in W$), where (W, \wedge) is a $*$ -invariant anticommutative algebra with dimension greater than 1.*
- (4) *V is quasi-associative: $V = D^{(\lambda)}$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ and D a topologically simple associative noncommutative H^* -algebra with the same inner product and involution as V .*

Proof. Since $\text{Ann}(V^+)^*$ is a closed ideal of V^+ invariant under all derivations of V^+ , by flexibility it is invariant under all D_x : $y \mapsto [x, y]$, so $\text{Ann}(V^+)$ is an ideal in V . If $\text{Ann}(V^+) = V^+$ we have Case 1, otherwise by topological simplicity we have $\text{Ann}(V^+) = 0$. In the latter case V^+ remains topologically simple: if $M \triangleleft V^+$ then M is invariant under all D_x by Proposition 1(ii) and Proposition 2(x) since V^+ remains a semi- H^* -algebra; hence M is an ideal in V .

So assume V and V^+ are both topologically simple. Hence V^+ is a Jordan H^* -algebra with $\text{Ann}(V^+) = 0$. By [17, Proposition 4.3, 3.4], V^+ has a

maximal family of completely primitive pairwise orthogonal projections $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}}$ (that is, nonzero pairwise orthogonal idempotents that satisfies $e_i^* = e_i$, $V_1^+(e_i) = \mathbb{C}e_i$) and V is the closure

$$V = \overline{\bigoplus V_{ij}^+}$$

of the orthogonal direct sum of all different Peirce subspaces V_{ij}^+ which are defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} V_{ii}^+ &= \{x \in V : x \cdot e_i = x\} \\ V_{ij}^+ &= \{x \in V : x \cdot e_i = \tfrac{1}{2}x = x \cdot e_j\} \quad (i \neq j) \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [1, pp. 559–562]). Furthermore the e_i are $*$ -strongly connected (that is, there exist $u_{ij} \in V_{ij}^+$ with $u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}$ and $u_{ij}^2 = e_i + e_j$, for $i \neq j$). In fact: By the well-known properties of the Peirce decomposition we have

$$x_{ij}^2 = Q_{ij}(x_{ij})(e_i + e_j) \quad (x_{ij} \in V_{ij}^+, i \neq j)$$

for a quadratic form Q_{ij} on $V_{ij}^+ = S_{ij} + (-1)^{1/2} S_{ij}$ (S_{ij} the real subspace of the self-adjoint elements of V_{ij}^+). By [17, p. 299], $S_{ij} \neq 0$. If $x_{ij} \in S_{ij}$ then $\|x_{ij}\|^2 = (x_{ij} | x_{ij} \cdot (e_i + e_j)) = (x_{ij}^2 | e_i + e_j) = Q_{ij}(x_{ij}) \|e_i + e_j\|^2$. This shows $Q_{ij}(x_{ij}) > 0$ for nonzero self-adjoint x_{ij} of V_{ij}^+ ; in particular we can replace x_{ij} by $u_{ij} = Q_{ij}(x_{ij})^{-1/2} x_{ij}$ to get $u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}$, $Q_{ij}(u_{ij}) = 1$.

If $\mathcal{A} = \{1\}$ then $V = \mathbb{C}e_1$ is commutative.

If $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2\}$ then $V^+ = \mathbb{C}e_1 \perp \mathbb{C}e_2 \perp V_{12}^+$ has V^+ (hence V) quadratic (cf. [9, p. 202]). Since $V_{12}^+ \neq 0$ we have dimension $V > 2$. By [11, p. 203], $V = \mathbb{C}e \oplus W$ with product $xy = f(x, y)e + x \wedge y$ for a symmetric bilinear form f , $W = \{x \in V : f(x, e) = f(e, x) = 0\}$ and product \wedge with $e \wedge w = w \wedge e = w$, $e \wedge e = 0$, $w \wedge w' = -w' \wedge w \in W$ for any $w, w' \in W$. Since $W = \mathbb{C}(e_1 - e_2) \perp V_{12}^+$ and $(e_1 - e_2 | e) = (e_1 - e_2 | u_{12}^2) = ((e_1 - e_2) \cdot u_{12} | u_{12}) = 0$ we have $V = \mathbb{C}e \perp W$. For self-adjoint u in V we have $\|u\|^2 = (u | u) = (u^2 | e) = f(u, u) \|e\|^2$, so $(u | v) = f(u, v) \|e\|^2$ for u, v in the real subspace S of the self-adjoint elements of V . Hence $f(x, y) = (x | y^*) / \|e\|^2$ for any $x, y \in V = S + (-1)^{1/2} S$, and the product is $xy = ((x | y^*) / \|e\|^2) e + x \wedge y$. Here $*$ is isometric on V since $\|x\|^2 = (x | x) = (xe | x) = (e | x^*x) = (ex^* | x^*) = \|x^*\|^2$, and $W = e^\perp$ with $e^* = e$ shows $W^* = W$. Thus V is as in Case 3.

Asume $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 3$. Then

$$V = \overline{\bigcup_{F \subset \mathcal{A}, 3 \leq |F| < \infty} V_F}, \quad V_F = \bigoplus_{i, j \in F} V_{ij} = V_1(e_F), \quad e_F = \sum_{i \in F} e_i,$$

where the Peirce subspaces $V_{ij} = V_{ij}^+$ coincide in V and V^+ and each V_F is a unital noncommutative Jordan H^* -algebra with V_F^+ an algebraically sim-

ple Jordan algebra (by [17, Theorem 4.6]). Notice also that the simple unital H^* -algebras (in particular the V_F) are central. In fact, its center is a field, which by the Gelfand–Mazur theorem must be $\mathbb{C}e$. At this point we can apply to each V_F the following theorem of Alvermann [3, Theorem 4.5, 4.6]: if K is a field of characteristic different from 2 and J a central noncommutative Jordan algebra over K with $n \geq 3$ strongly connected supplementary orthogonal idempotents then either

- (a) $[J, [J, J]] = 0$.
- (b) J is (commutative) Jordan.
- (c) J is quasi-associative: there is a quadratic extension L of K with J_L split quasi-associative ($J_L = D^{(\lambda)}$ for D associative).

If each V_F is as in Case (a) then $[V, [V, V]] = 0$. We first rule out this case by showing V is commutative. Since $V = S + (-1)^{1/2} S$ for S self-adjoint elements it suffices to show $[S, V] = 0$, i.e., $L_x = R_x$ for $x = x^*$; but $[x, [x, V]] = 0$ is equivalent to $0 = (L_x - R_x)^2 = (L_x - R_x)(L_x - R_x) = (L_x - R_x)^*(L_x - R_x)$, and $T^*T = 0$ forces $T = 0$ for any continuous operator on Hilbert space, thus $L_x = R_x$.

So each V_F is either commutative or quasi-associative. If all V_F are commutative so is V and we have Case 2. If some V_{F_0} is not commutative neither is any V_F for $F \supset F_0$, so all such V_F are quasi-associative and there exists an associative noncommutative algebra D_F and an $\lambda_F \in \mathbb{C} - \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ such that $V_F = D_F^{(\lambda_F)}$. By [12, Proposition 2.5] the above λ_F are the same complex number λ . Thus $V = \bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \supset F \supset F_0} V_F = \bigcup D_F^{(\lambda)} = D^{(\lambda)}$ for $D = \bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \supset F \supset F_0} D_F$ an associative noncommutative algebra, being $D = V^{(\mu)}$, where $\mu = \lambda/(2\lambda - 1)$. Now we claim that λ is real. Assume $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$. By Proposition 1(ii) V is semiprime and so is D . By [12, Proposition 2.2], $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $*$ is multiplicative in D (that is, the product $(\dot{\mu})$ of D satisfies $(x_{(\dot{\mu})} y)^* = x^*_{(\dot{\mu})} y^*$). An elementary computation using $\bar{\mu} = 1 - \mu$ shows

$$(x_{(\dot{\mu})} y | z) = (x | y^*_{(\dot{\mu})} z) = (y | z_{(\dot{\mu})} x^*) \quad (1)$$

for any $x, y, z \in D$. Let L_x, R_x be the linear maps $L_x: y \mapsto x_{(\dot{\mu})} y$ and $R_x: y \mapsto y_{(\dot{\mu})} x$. By (1) and the associativity of D , L_x is a normal operator. So its spectral radius $r(L_x)$ satisfies $r(L_x) = \|L_x\|$. Similarly $r(R_x) = \|R_x\|$. But it is known that an associative normed algebra in which the spectral radius and the norm agree must be commutative (see [5, Corollary 15.7 and the comment below]). Therefore the sets $\{L_x: x \in D\}$ and $\{R_x: x \in D\}$ are commutative algebras. Thus we have $L_{[x,y]} = [L_x, L_y] = 0$ and $R_{[x,y]} = [R_x, R_y] = 0$ for any $x, y \in D$. Hence $[x, y] \in \operatorname{Ann}(D) = \operatorname{Ann}(V) = 0$ and D is commutative; a contradiction. This contradiction gives λ real. From $D = V^{(\mu)}$ we obtain that D is a topologically simple H^* -algebra and we have Case 4.

Remark 1. Let V be a topologically simple noncommutative Jordan semi- H^* -algebra. As in the proof of Theorem 2 either V is anticommutative or V^+ is a topologically simple Jordan semi- H^* -algebra. Following the ideas of [10] we can prove that every Jordan semi- H^* -algebra with zero annihilator is an H^* -algebra. Assume V^+ is a topologically simple Jordan semi- H^* -algebra. Therefore V^+ is an H^* -algebra, so by Proposition 2(ix), $*$ is isometric. Proposition 2(ix) now gives that V is an H^* -algebra. Therefore our Theorem 2 is also valid if we replace “ H^* -algebra” by “semi- H^* -algebra.”

We will examine the commutative case in more detail in the next section.

3. JORDAN H^* -ALGEBRAS

The Jacobson coordinatization theorem plays a fundamental role in the classification of the nondegenerate simple Jordan algebras with unity satisfying the minimum conditions for quadratic ideals. In this section we prove a similar coordinatization theorem for Jordan H^* -algebras and use it to classify all the topologically simple Jordan H^* -algebras. A partial result was given by C. Viola Devapakkiam and P. S. Rema [18].

We recall that a family $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}}$ of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections of a Jordan H^* -algebra V is called maximal if it is not properly contained in any other. Let V and W be H^* -algebras. The homomorphism $f: V \rightarrow W$ is called a $*$ -homomorphism if $f(x^*) = f(x)^*$ for any $x \in V$. We call a bijective $*$ -homomorphism a $*$ -isomorphism, and a $*$ -isomorphism from V to V a $*$ -automorphism.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let V be a Jordan H^* -algebra with zero annihilator and let $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}}$ be a maximal family of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections whose cardinal is greater than or equal to 3. Let ω_1 be an element of \mathcal{A} such that any e_j is $*$ -strongly connected to e_{ω_1} via $u_{\omega_1 j}$. For i, j in \mathcal{A} such that $i \neq j$ and both are different from ω_1 , we define*

$$u_{ij} = 2u_{\omega_1 i}u_{\omega_1 j}.$$

If now we assume that i, j are different arbitrary elements of \mathcal{A} there exists a unique continuous linear map $T_{ij}: V \rightarrow V$ such that

- (1) $T_{ij}(x) = U_{u_{ij}}(x)$ if $x \in V_{ii} \perp V_{ij} \perp V_{jj}$.
- (2) $T_{ij}(x) = x$ if $x \in V_{kl}$ and $k, l \neq i, j$.
- (3) $T_{ij}(x) = 2R_{u_{ij}}(x)$ if $x \in V_{ik} \perp V_{jk}$ and $k \neq i, j$.

Every T_{ij} is an isometric $$ -automorphism whose square is the identity and the map $(\omega_1 j) \mapsto T_{\omega_1 j}$ can be extended in a unique way to a monomorphism*

$\varphi: \pi \mapsto T_\pi$ from the group $\mathcal{J}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A})$ of permutations of \mathcal{A} fixing all but a finite number of elements to the group of the isometric $*$ -automorphisms of V . This monomorphism satisfies $\varphi((ij)) = T_{ij}$. Moreover $\varphi(\pi)(x) = \varphi(\pi')(x)$ if $x \in V_{kl}$ and $\pi(k) = \pi'(k)$, $\pi(l) = \pi'(l)$ ($k = l$ allowed).

Proof. The unicity of every T_{ij} it follows from $V = \bar{V}_0$ where $V_0 = \bigcup_{F \subset \mathcal{A}, |F| < \infty} V_F$ and $V_F = V_1(\sum_{i \in F} e_i)$ (see [17, Theorem 3.5]). Applying the usual Jacobson Coordinatization Theorem to the unital subalgebras V_F for finite subsets $F \subset \mathcal{A}$ we obtain a monomorphism φ_0 from $\mathcal{J}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A})$ to the algebra automorphisms of V_0 such that $\varphi_0((ij))$ and T_{ij} agree on V_0 . Let $\varphi(\pi): V \rightarrow V$ be the continuous extension of $\varphi_0(\pi)$. So $\varphi(\pi)$ is an automorphism of V whose inverse is $\varphi(\pi^{-1})$ and the map $\varphi: \pi \mapsto T_\pi$ is a monomorphism of groups. Since $T_{ij} = U_{t_{ij}}$ ($t_{ij} = 1 - e_1 - e_j + u_{ij}$, 1 the unit in the unital hull of V and $U_v = 2R_v^2 - R_{v^2}$) we see $T_{ij}^* = T_{ij} = T_{ij}^{-1}$, so $T_\pi^* = T_\pi^{-1}$ for all $\pi \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A})$. Thus $\|T_\pi(x)\|^2 = (T_\pi(x) | T_\pi(x)) = (T_\pi^* T_\pi(x) | x) = (x | x) = \|x\|^2$, so all T_π are isometric. Furthermore T_π respect the involution since the T_{ij} do. In fact, $T_{ij}(x)^* = U_{t_{ij}}(x)^* = U_{t_{ij}}(x^*) = T_{ij}(x^*)$. We get by [9, p. 134, Lemma 2] that if $\pi(k) = \pi'(k)$, $\pi(l) = \pi'(l)$ then $T_\pi(x) = T_{\pi'}(x)$ for $x \in V_{kl}$.

LEMMA 1. Let V , $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}}$ and u_{ij} be as in Proposition 4. Then $\|R_{u_{ij}}(x)\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2$ for $x \in V_{ii}$. Moreover $\|u_{ij}\|^2 = 2\varepsilon$, where all $\|e_k\|^2 = \varepsilon$.

Proof. By [9, p. 136] we have $2R_{e_i}R_{u_{ij}}^2(x) = x$ for any $x \in V_{ii}$. The Peirce decomposition properties give $R_{u_{ij}}^2(x) \in V_{ii} \perp V_{jj}$. So we have

$$\|R_{u_{ij}}(x)\|^2 = (R_{u_{ij}}(x) | R_{u_{ij}}(x)) = (x | R_{u_{ij}}^2(x)) = (x | R_{e_i}R_{u_{ij}}^2(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2.$$

In particular $\|u_{ij}\|^2 = 2 \|e_i\|^2 = 2 \|e_j\|^2$, so all $\|e_i\|^2 = \varepsilon$. ■

Let \mathcal{A} be a non-vacuous set and let D be a semi- H^* -algebra with continuous involution $a \mapsto a^\square$. Then the set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(D)$ of matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ that have entries in D and satisfy $\sum \|a_{ij}\|^2 < \infty$ becomes a semi- H^* -algebra under the inner product $(A | B) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}} (a_{ij} | b_{ij})$ (so the norm is determined by $\|A\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}} \|a_{ij}\|^2$), the algebra product $AB = (c_{ij})$ for $c_{ij} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}} a_{ik} b_{kj}$ and the involution $A \mapsto A^* = (a_{ji}^\square)$ (A^* the transpose matrix of that obtained by applying \square to each entry of A). $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(D)$ is an H^* -algebra if D is so. We shall call it the semi- H^* -algebra (H^* -algebra) of the $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ -matrices with entries in D . If D carries an involutive continuous antiautomorphism s such that $s(a^\square) = [s(a)]^\square$ for any $a \in D$, then $S(A) = (s(a_{ji}))$ is an involutive continuous antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(D)$ that satisfies $S(A)^* = S(A^*)$, so the set $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s) = \{A \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(D): S(A) = A\}$ is a closed self-adjoint subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(D)^+$ which is a semi- H^* -algebra under the restrictions of the inner product and the involution of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(D)$ (thus $A^* = (a_{ji}^*)$ for $a^* = s(a)^\square = s(a^\square)$). Moreover $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s)$ is an H^* -

algebra if D is so. We shall call it *the semi- H^* -algebra (H^* -algebra) of the s -symmetric $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ -matrices with entries in D* . Assume D has unity; if $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote by E_{ij} the matrix of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(D)$ that has the unity of D in the (i, j) -entry and zero in the remaining entries. If $a \in D$ we write $a[ij] = aE_{ij} + s(a)E_{ji}$. Every A in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s)$ has the form $A = \sum_{i \leq j} a_{ij}[ij]$, where $\sum_{i \leq j} \|a_{ij}\|^2 < \infty$ and \leq denotes a well order on \mathcal{A} .

THEOREM 3 (Coordinatization in Jordan H^* -Algebras). *Let V be a Jordan H^* -algebra with zero annihilator, $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}}$ a maximal family of nonzero pairwise orthogonal projections whose cardinal is greater than or equal to 3. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ be three different elements of \mathcal{A} . Assume that for all $i \in \mathcal{A}$, e_i is $*$ -strongly connected to e_{ω_1} via $u_{\omega_1 i}$. Then*

(1) $D = V_{\omega_1 \omega_2}$ is a unital alternative algebra over \mathbb{C} whose product is $x \nabla y = 2T_{\omega_2 \omega_3}(x) T_{\omega_1 \omega_3}(y)$, whose unit is $u = u_{\omega_1 \omega_2}$ and where the map $s: D \rightarrow D$ given by $s(x) = T_{\omega_1 \omega_2}(x)$ is an involutive continuous antiautomorphism. This algebra is associative if $\text{card}(\mathcal{A}) > 3$.

(2) $D = V_{\omega_1 \omega_2}$ is an H^* -algebra under the restriction of the inner product of V and the involution defined by $x^\perp = s(x^*)$.

(3) There is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism from V to the H^* -algebra of the s -symmetric $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ -matrices with entries in D , $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s)$.

Proof. The algebraic properties (1) of D follow from the Jacobson strong coordinatization theorem [9, p. 133] applied to V_F for $F = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ ($n = 3$ or 4). For (2), note by commutativity of V $*$ is an involutive \mathbb{C} -antilinear automorphism of V with $e_i^* = e_i$ which commutes with all T_{ij} , so it induces a \mathbb{C} -antilinear involutory automorphism of $D = V_{\omega_1 \omega_2}$ commuting with $s = T_{\omega_1 \omega_2}$, and $x^\perp = s(x^*)$ is an involution on D . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \nabla y | z) &= 2(T_{\omega_2 \omega_3}(x) T_{\omega_1 \omega_3}(y) | z) = 2(T_{\omega_2 \omega_3}(x) | z T_{\omega_1 \omega_3}(y^*)) \\ &= 2(x | T_{\omega_2 \omega_3}(z) T_{\omega_2 \omega_3} T_{\omega_1 \omega_3}(y^*)), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

after making use of Proposition 4. On the other hand,

$$(x | z \nabla y^\perp) = 2(x | T_{\omega_2 \omega_3}(z) T_{\omega_1 \omega_3} T_{\omega_1 \omega_2}(y^*)). \quad (3)$$

From (2), (3), Proposition 4 and $(\omega_2 \omega_3)(\omega_1 \omega_3) = (\omega_1 \omega_3)(\omega_1 \omega_2)$ we obtain $(x \nabla y | z) = (x | z \nabla y^\perp)$ for $x, y, z \in D$. Similarly it can be proved that $(x \nabla y | z) = (y | x^\perp \nabla z)$.

We know from the usual coordinatization theorem that there is an algebraic isomorphism $\eta: V_0 = \perp V_{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s)$ by $\eta(x) = \sum_{i \leq j} \eta_{ij}(x_{ij})[ij]$

($\eta_{ij}(x_{ij}) = T_\pi(x_{ij})$ for $\pi(i) = \omega_1$, $\pi(j) = \omega_2$, $\eta_{ii}(x_{ii}) = \eta_{ij}(x_{ii} \cdot u_{ij})$ and \leq a well order on \mathcal{A}). Moreover

$$\eta(x^*) = \sum_{i \leq j} \eta_{ij}(x_{ij}^*)[ij] = \sum_{i \leq j} s(\eta_{ij}(x_{ij}^*))[ji] = \eta(x)^*. \quad (4)$$

By Proposition 4, $\eta_{ij} = T_\pi$ is an isometry for $i \leq j$, so $2 \|\eta_{ii}(x_{ii})\|^2 = 2 \|x_{ii} \cdot u_{ij}\|^2 = \|x_{ii}\|^2$ by Lemma 1. Hence η is an isometry on V_0 because

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta(x)\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i \leq j} \eta_{ij}(x_{ij})[ij] \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} \|\eta_{ij}(x_{ij})\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} \|s\eta_{ij}(x_{ij})\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \|2\eta_{ii}(x_{ii})\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i < j} \|\eta_{ij}(x_{ij})\|^2 + 2 \sum_i \|\eta_{ii}(x_{ii})\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i < j} \|x_{ij}\|^2 + \sum_i \|x_{ii}\|^2 = \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a unique continuous homomorphism G from $V = \bar{V}_0$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s)$ that extends η . We have

$$\|G(z)\|^2 = \|z\|^2 \quad (5)$$

for any $z \in V$. From (5) it follows that G is a monomorphism whose image is closed. This image is dense, therefore G is an isomorphism. By Proposition 2(ix) (4) and (5), G is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism. ■

Let D_0 be one of the four real composition division algebras ($\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$), s_0 its canonical involutive antiautomorphism and Q_0 its quadratic form. Let $D = (D_0)_{\mathbb{C}} = D_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ the complexification of D_0 . There is a unique involutive antiautomorphism s (resp. quadratic form Q , multiplicative involution \square) that satisfies $s(x_0 \otimes \lambda) = s_0(x_0) \otimes \bar{\lambda}$ (resp. $Q(x_0 \otimes \lambda, y_0 \otimes \mu) = \lambda \bar{\mu} Q_0(x_0, y_0)$, $(x_0 \otimes \lambda)^{\square} = s_0(x_0) \otimes \bar{\lambda}$). D becomes an alternative H^* -algebra with respect the involution \square and the inner product determined by $(x_0 \otimes \lambda | y_0 \otimes \mu) = Q(x_0 \otimes \lambda, y_0 \otimes \bar{\mu})$. D is a composition algebra of quadratic form Q which we shall call the *canonical composition H^* -algebra*.

THEOREM 4. *The Jordan H^* -algebra V is topologically simple iff there exists a $*$ -isomorphism multiplying all the norms by the same positive factor, from V to one of the following H^* -algebras:*

- (1) \mathbb{C} .
- (2) $\mathbb{C}e \oplus H$ the quadratic Jordan H^* -algebra associated with a com-

plex Hilbert space H of dimension strictly greater than 1 with isometric involutive semilinear map $\square: (\alpha e + x)(\beta e + y) = [\alpha\beta + (x|y)^\square]e + \beta x + \alpha y$, $(\alpha e + x|\beta e + y) = \alpha\beta + (x|y)$, $(\alpha e + x)^* = \bar{\alpha}e + x^\square$.

(3) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s)$ the H^* -algebra of all s -symmetric $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ -matrices with entries in D , where \mathcal{A} is a set of cardinals greater than or equal to 3 and D is one of the four canonical composition H^* -algebras, with D different from the complex octonions if $\text{card}(\mathcal{A}) > 3$.

Proof. If V is topologically simple then $\text{Ann}(V) = 0$ because $\text{Ann}(V)$ is a closed ideal of V . Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}}$ be a maximal family of completely primitive pairwise orthogonal projections. If the family has only one element e then $V = V_1(e) = \mathbb{C}e$. If \mathcal{A} has two elements we see as in the proof of Theorem 2 that V is as in Case 3 of Theorem 2. Since V is commutative, W has null product. If e is the unit of V , multiplying by a positive factor we can change the inner product so that $\|e\| = 1$. Then V is as in Case 2 with $H = W$ and \square the map induced by $*$. Conversely all such V are simple.

We now assume $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 3$. As in the proof of Theorem 2 every two different elements of $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}}$ are $*$ -strongly connected. By our Coordinatization Theorem for Jordan H^* -algebras, $D = V_{\omega_1\omega_2}$ is a unital alternative H^* -algebra with involutive continuous antiautomorphism s and there exists an isometric $*$ -isomorphism from V to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s)$. Since $V_{ii} = \mathbb{C}e_i$ we have D a composition algebra [9, p. 205]. We denote by $Q(x)$ its quadratic form. Using the notations of Theorem 3 we have $Q(x) \|u\|^2 = (Q(x)u|u) = (x\nabla s(x)|u) = (x|u\nabla s(x)^\square) = (x|s(x)^\square) = (x|x^*)$, so $Q(x) = (x|x^*)/\|u\|^2$. We know $D = D_0 \oplus iD_0 = (D_0)_{\mathbb{C}}$ for $D_0 = \{x \in V_{\omega_1\omega_2}; x^* = x\}$. We have $x_0\nabla s(x_0) = s(x_0)\nabla x_0 = Q(x_0)u = [(x_0|x_0^*)/\|u\|^2]u = [\|x_0\|^2/\|u\|^2]u$ for $x_0 \in D_0$. Thus D_0 is one of the four positive-definite real composition division algebras. By Theorem 3 the involution of D is $x \mapsto s(x^*)$, so if we change the inner product multiplying by a convenient positive factor, then D agrees with the canonical composition H^* -algebra. Thus V is as in Case 3. Conversely it is easy to see that all such $V = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s)$ of Case 3 are topologically simple (with nonzero ideals containing the finite matrices).

Remark 2. Let \mathbb{K} be the division ring of the real, complex or quaternions. Let H be a right vector space over \mathbb{K} and

$$(\mid): H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$$

a symmetric bilinear hermitian form such that $(x|x) > 0$ for any $x \in H - \{0\}$ (see [8, p. 74] for definition). We shall call H a prehilbertian space over \mathbb{K} . We define a norm $\|\cdot\|$ in H by the formula

$$\|x\| = (x|x)^{1/2}.$$

If H is complete under $\|\cdot\|$ we say that H is a Hilbert space over \mathbb{K} . As in the classical case (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) we may define the orthonormal complete systems, the operational adjoint of a continuous linear map of H and the Hilbert–Schmidt operators of H . The set of all the Hilbert–Schmidt operators of H that are equal to their operational adjoint is in a natural way a Jordan \mathbb{R} -algebra and a real Hilbert space. This algebra by complexifying gives an algebra that may be identified with the H^* -algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(D, s)$, where D is the canonical composition H^* -algebra obtained from \mathbb{K} by complexifying and \mathcal{A} is a set of cardinality equal to the Hilbert dimension of H . This identification allows us to represent the Jordan H^* -algebras of (3) of Theorem 4 different from $\mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}}, s)$ as complexified algebras of self-adjoint Hilbert–Schmidt operators on a Hilbert space over \mathbb{K} of Hilbert dimension greater than or equal to 3 (for details, see [6]).

Remark 3. From Theorem 4, the above remark and [17, Proposition 4.7] the result of C. Viola and P. S. Rema on infinite-dimensional separable “special” topologically simple Jordan H^* -algebras [18] can be easily deduced. It is enough to observe that the Hilbert space H over \mathbb{K} considered in Remark 2 is a real Hilbert space for the inner product $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y) + s((x|y))$, so every self-adjoint \mathbb{K} -linear operator on H is \mathbb{R} -linear and therefore is extended to a self-adjoint \mathbb{C} -linear operator on the complex Hilbert space obtained by complexifying of the real Hilbert space H .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank the referee for suggesting some improvements in the exposition of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. A. A. ALBERT, Power-associative rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **64** (1948), 552–593.
2. E. M. ALFSEN AND E. G. EFFROS, Structure in real Banach spaces, II, *Ann. of Math.* **96** (1972), 129–173.
3. K. ALVERMANN, A coordinatization theorem for noncommutative Jordan algebras, unpublished.
4. W. AMBROSE, Structure theorems for a special class of Banach algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **57** (1945), 364–386.
5. F. F. BONSALL AND J. DUNCAN, “Complete Normed Algebras,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1966.
6. J. A. CUENCA, “Sobre H^* -álgebras no asociativas. Teoría de estructura de las H^* -álgebras de Jordan no conmutativas semisimples,” Tesis doctoral, Universidad de Granada, June 1982.

7. J. A. CUENCA AND A. RODRIGUEZ, Isomorphisms of H^* -algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **97** (1985), 93–99.
8. J. DIEUDONNÉ, “Sur les groupes classiques,” 3rd ed., Act. Sci. Ind., No. 1040, Hermann, Paris, 1973.
9. N. JACOBSON, “Structure and Representations of Jordan Algebras,” Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., Vol. 39, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.
10. J. MARTINEZ, JV -algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **87** (1980), 47–50.
11. J. M. OSBORN, Quadratic division algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105** (1962), 202–221.
12. R. PAYA, J. PEREZ, AND A. RODRIGUEZ, Type I factor representations of noncommutative JB^* -algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **48** (1984), 428–444.
13. I. PEREZ DE GUZMAN, Structure theorems for alternative H^* -algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **94** (1983), 437–446.
14. W. RUDIN, “Functional Analysis,” McGraw–Hill, New York, 1973.
15. J. R. SCHUE, Hilbert space methods in the theory of Lie algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1960), 68–80.
16. J. R. SCHUE, Cartan decompositions for L^* -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1961), 334–349.
17. C. VIOLA DEVAPAKKIAM, Hilbert space methods in the theory of Jordan algebras, I, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **78** (1975), 293–300.
18. C. VIOLA DEVAPAKKIAM AND P. S. REMA, Hilbert space methods in the theory of Jordan algebras, II, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **79** (1976), 307–319.